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BACKGROUND:

This Model Wind Ordinance provides suggested language for consideration by localities in framing their own local ordinance for community-scale wind energy projects. It was developed by the Department of Environmental Quality’s (DEQ’s) Local Government Outreach Group (LOG), an informal group of stakeholders, chaired by DEQ’s Director, with representation from local governments, developers, academia, and environmental organizations. The LOG’s work was facilitated by DEQ staff.

Primary sources considered by the LOG for this Model Wind Ordinance included the Virginia Association of Counties (VACO) Model Wind Ordinance, adopted ordinances from Pulaski and Rockingham Counties in Virginia, and model ordinances from New York, Pennsylvania, Michigan, and Oregon. Other ordinances and models were also reviewed by LOG subgroups as they drafted suggested provisions on particular issues.

Based on these resources and their own experiences in Virginia and other states, subgroups of the LOG recommended provisions for this Model Wind Ordinance. Dr. Maria Papadakis of James Madison University compiled the recommended provisions, providing editorial comments and context. Group members and members of the public provided further comments on the draft compilation, by email and at LOG meetings. The Wind Technical Group (a subset of stakeholders) reviewed and discussed the draft prior to final LOG action. DEQ staff and interns also contributed to the draft. The version presented here reflects the compilation of provisions suggested by LOG members for consideration by local governments when they determine whether and how community-scale wind energy projects should be located in their jurisdictions. To the extent practicable, explanatory comments and issues of concern are detailed in footnotes. The use of [brackets] around certain provisions (1) indicates points at which a local government should supply locality-specific information OR (2) signals a decision point at which a local government may adopt the suggested provision and/or may wish to give special consideration to local circumstances and preferences in framing the provision.
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1. TITLE
This ordinance shall be known as the Community-Scale Wind Energy Project Ordinance for [locality].

2. PURPOSE
The purpose of this ordinance is to provide for the siting, development, and decommissioning of community-scale wind energy projects in [locality], subject to reasonable conditions that protect the public health, safety and welfare of the community while promoting development of renewable energy resources. 2

3. APPLICABILITY
This ordinance applies to all community-scale wind energy projects proposed to be constructed after the effective date of this ordinance. Community-scale wind energy projects constructed prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall not be required to meet the requirements of this ordinance.

4. DEFINITIONS
"Applicant" means the owner or operator who submits an application to the locality for a permit to install a wind energy project under this ordinance.

"Landowner" means the person who owns all or a portion of the real property on which a wind energy project is constructed.

"Meteorological (MET) tower or wind-monitoring tower" means a free-standing tower equipped with instrumentation, such as anemometers, designed to provide real-time data pertaining to wind speed and direction, and used to assess the wind resource at a particular site.

"Non-participating landowner" means a person who owns real property that may be affected by a wind energy project and is not under lease or other property agreement with the owner or operator of the wind energy project.

---

1 Purpose. The statement of purpose is based on similar provisions found in existing ordinances and models and was acceptable to most LOG members. The phrase “promoting development of renewable energy sources” conforms with Virginia’s Energy Policy (specifically, §67-103 of the Code of Virginia). The legal requirements of this Energy Policy are discussed in the companion document, “Introduction: DEQ’s Local Government Outreach for Renewable Energy,” which appears on DEQ’s website along with this model ordinance. One LOG member believed that including the promotion of renewable energy as part of the Purpose was going further than necessary. However a local government chooses to articulate the Purpose of its wind ordinance, it should keep in mind the statutory mandate, incumbent on both local and state government entities, to promote the development of renewable energy.

2 Public Health, Safety, and Welfare. This model ordinance addresses local governments’ traditional areas of responsibility – public health, safety, and welfare – as they relate to wind energy projects. The model ordinance does not address protection of natural resources. In Virginia, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) regulates impacts of wind projects on wildlife and historic resources pursuant to 9VAC15-40. The Virginia legislature delegated this authority to DEQ pursuant to the Small Renewable Energy Projects Act of 2009 (§10.1-1197.5 et seq. of the Code of Virginia). Other natural resources are regulated via permits administered by DEQ and other agencies or levels of government (e.g., air, water, waste, erosion and sediment control) pursuant to other state or federal laws.
“Operator” means the person responsible for the overall operation and management of a wind energy project.

“Owner” means the person who owns all or a portion of a wind energy project.

“Participating landowner” means a person who owns real property under lease or other property agreement with the owner or operator of a wind energy project.

“Rated capacity” means the maximum capacity of a wind energy project based on the sum total of each turbine’s nameplate capacity. The nameplate capacity is typically specified by the manufacturer with a label on the turbine equipment.

“Shadow flicker” means the visible effect that occurs when rotating turbine blades cast shadows on the ground and nearby structures causing a repeating pattern of light and shadow.

“Tower” means the structure on which a wind turbine is mounted, or on which anemometers and other instrumentation are mounted in the case of MET towers.

“Wind energy project, Tier I” means a single wind turbine with a rated capacity of not more than [100kw], designed to supplement other electricity sources as an accessory use to existing buildings or facilities, wherein the electric power generated may be used primarily for onsite consumption. A Tier I wind energy facility generally consists of a single turbine and associated control or conversion electronics. Tier I wind energy projects of one turbine are permitted by right in all zoning districts where structures are permitted, with administrative approval of a [zoning or building] permit by the [local official].

“Wind energy project, Tier II” means a wind energy facility consisting of one or more wind turbine(s) and associated control or conversion electronics, with a rated capacity greater than [100 kw] and less than or equal to [1 megawatt (MW)]. Tier II wind energy projects are permitted in residential zones with approval of a [special use permit, special exception, or conditional use permit] in accordance with [insert appropriate local citation] and the requirements of this ordinance. In all other zoning districts where structures are permitted, Tier II wind energy projects are permitted by right, with administrative approval of a [zoning or building] permit by the [local official].

“Wind energy project, Tier III” means a wind energy facility consisting of one or more wind turbine(s) and associated control or conversion electronics, which has a rated capacity greater than [1 MW] and less than or equal to [5MW]. Tier III wind energy projects are permitted with approval of a [special use permit, special exception, special exception, conditional use permit].

---

3 Definition of “Project.” In land use zoning and ordinances, one of the first issues is how to name and define wind energy installations. Commonly used terms include windmills, turbines, wind energy facilities, wind energy systems, and wind energy conversion systems. Although several planning experts recommended using the term facility, LOG members recommended using project wherever the term would fit, in order to be consistent with Virginia’s Small Renewable Energy Projects Act of 2009 (hereinafter “2009 statute”).
or conditional use permit] in accordance with [insert appropriate local citation] and the requirements of this ordinance.⁴

“Wind turbine” means a wind energy conversion system that converts wind energy into electricity through the use of a wind turbine generator that typically consists of a tower, nacelle, rotor, blades, controller and associated mechanical and electrical conversion components.

“Wind turbine height” means the vertical height of a wind turbine as measured from the existing grade to the highest vertical point of the turbine rotor or tip of the turbine blade when it reaches its highest elevation.

⁴ Rated Capacity of Community-Scale Wind Projects. In determining how to define the project sizes, local governments may want to ensure that the ordinance for community-scale projects coordinates appropriately with the sizes specified in the residential-scale (micro) and utility-scale ordinances; i.e., that Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III projects delineated in this community-scale ordinance are larger than residential-scale, and smaller than utility-scale.
5. TYPE OF PERMITTING

A. PERMITTED USES

1. Community-scale wind energy projects may be permitted by right or may require special use permits, as set forth in Table I (below). 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Zoning District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I: Permitted Uses

5 General Background: Types of Permitting. Localities have several options for allowing the use of wind energy projects, with and without zoning designations. Applicants should check local requirements; however, in general, these options may be described as follows:

- **By right or permitted use** – Land uses that are permitted “by right” are those that can be approved administratively by the staff because the zoning ordinance allows it. By right development is regulated by the zoning ordinance and by the subdivision ordinance. Approval is a ministerial act typically carried out by the Zoning Administrator who implements the law or policy by applying the facts in a particular case. When the requirements of the law or policy are satisfied, approval is required. The zoning administrator has no discretion to deny a compliant application.

- **Accessory uses** – Many zoning ordinances will include uses that are subordinate or incidental to the primary use and located upon land zoned to allow the primary or principal use within zoning districts. For example, detached garages are typically considered to be accessory uses in residential districts. Typically, accessory uses will be directly related to the principal uses permitted within the district and do not require any additional scrutiny.

- **Special Exception/Conditional Use/ Special Use Permitting** – The three terms are similar and refer to land uses that are allowed in certain zoning districts with special permission or conditions. Uses allowed by special use permit are those considered to be generally consistent with the by right uses, but ones that may have a potentially greater impact on neighboring properties or the public. Approvals are conditioned upon the applicant’s complying with specific requirements intended to address or mitigate anticipated impacts. These cases generally require case-by-case review by the planning commission, with recommendation for final action by the governing body, or appointed Board of Zoning Appeals in case of special exceptions when directed by local code. The governing body may revoke a special use permit for willful noncompliance with the zoning ordinance or the adopted conditions of the permit, or for failure to commence the use, structure, or activity within the prescribed period of time. Acting on a request for a special exception or a special use permit is a legislative act made only by the governing body, after public hearing.

Many localities will already have language in place regarding special use permitting processes. Localities may, however, want to include additional provisions for a special use permit for wind energy projects specifically. It is generally recommended that procedural timelines be consistent with those already in place for facilities of similar size and scope.

In one comment from a member of the public, it was noted that some Virginia localities utilize permitting vehicles other than special use permits, such as overlay districts or industrial zoning districts. After extended discussion at one of its meetings, the majority of the LOG did not choose to include these options, in part because some members had reportedly witnessed such measures (especially overlay districts) being utilized to effectively prohibit wind development. The LOG attempted to suggest provisions in this model ordinance that would, on their face, be compliant with the state’s Energy Policy to promote renewable energy. Although a locality may be able to utilize approaches like industrial zoning districts and overlay districts in a manner that comports with the Energy Policy, the locality may need to evaluate carefully whether the approach, as implemented, is vulnerable to arguments that wind development is being prohibited, that wind development is being subjected to stricter standards than other types of development, and similar. On the other side of the coin, however, one LOG member commented that localities may want to consider where within their jurisdiction they wish to encourage or discourage larger wind projects. She expressed her disappointment that the model ordinance does not provide suggestions or resources to help localities do so. The LOG may be able to consider this issue further at a later date.

6 Table 1 is a template which localities may utilize as recommended, or modify as they see fit. Any modifications to the Table should also be reflected in the definitions of Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III wind energy projects.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MET Tower</th>
<th>Agricultural</th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Commercial</th>
<th>Industrial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier I</strong> (&lt; 10 kW to 100 kW)</td>
<td>P* or S</td>
<td>P*</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier II</strong> (&lt; 100 kW to 1 MW)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier III</strong> (&gt; 1 MW to 5 MW)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P= Permitted by right  
S= Requires Special Use Permit

* Multiple turbines require a SUP.

2. The general procedures for applying for a special use permit as set forth in [locality's] zoning ordinance shall apply in addition to the applicable provisions of this ordinance.

B. **BY RIGHT APPROVAL FOR METEOROGICAL (MET) TOWERS.**

1. MET tower(s) may be installed with the issuance of a [zoning or building] permit for the purpose of monitoring wind and other environmental conditions relevant to siting wind energy projects. The [zoning or building] permit is valid for a period of [24 months] and is renewable for an additional [24 months].

2. An extension of time beyond [48] months requires the issuance of a special use permit.

---

7 **By right v. Special Use Permit:** After discussing an array of possible guidelines for by right use and special use permitting, LOG recommended that special use permitting should be required for multiple turbines.

8 **Meteorological Towers.** Community-scale wind energy projects may require the use of one or more pre-construction MET towers to assess the wind resource and to properly design the project. (Exception: Some proposed projects in Virginia are now relying on Light Detection and Ranging or LIDAR technology to gather needed wind resource data.) Pre-construction MET towers are intended to be temporary and are usually left on-site for a period of 1-3 years, although 2 years often appears sufficient to gather necessary data. Some localities do not separately address MET towers as part of their wind ordinances, while others do. Localities should consider whether they would like to allow such towers as a by right use and for how long. A number of LOG members felt that by right approval for MET towers makes sense due their temporary nature.

9 **MET Towers Over 199 Feet:** Some localities may require a special use permit for MET towers over 199' in height; however, many LOG members felt that the choice of a by right or special use permit determination should be an issue of time (i.e., duration of use) only, not height.

Some noted that local governments may want to request an annual status report regarding MET towers and the project as a whole to assist local governments in evaluating compliance with the time requirements concerning MET towers, potential triggering of the “inoperable” provision suggested regarding decommissioning, and similar. The LOG did not suggest including a requirement for annual reporting as part of the model ordinance, since they did not want to make it more difficult to approve a wind project than other types of projects (which typically have no such reporting requirement).

10 **Duration of MET Tower Approval:** Localities may wish to consider the time frames suggested for MET towers as minimums. Although LOG members generally agreed that 48 months was an apt time frame for local governments to consider, they also noted that, given the need for additional project approvals at federal and state levels, this time limit may not be practicable. Local governments may wish to alter the provision to state that permits are valid for a period of 36 months, or even for five years (to be consistent with typical duration of site plan approval validity), unless the tower falls into disrepair or its use is discontinued (at which time decommissioning requirements
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3. MET tower(s) shall be decommissioned within one month following the end of the study period, unless otherwise approved.

6. APPLICATIONS AND PROCEDURES

In addition to the requirements of [local site plan citation] and [local special use permit citation], applications for a community-scale wind energy project shall include the following information:

A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION
A narrative identifying the applicant and describing the proposed wind project, including an overview of the project and its location; approximate rated capacity of the wind energy project; the approximate number, representative types and height or range of heights of wind turbines to be constructed; and a description of ancillary facilities, if applicable.

B. SITE PLAN
The site plan shall conform to the preparation and submittal requirements of [local site plan citation], including supplemental plans and submissions, and shall include the following information:

1. Property lines and setback lines

would set in). A variation on this suggestion was validity for five years with a status report due to the local government after 24 months and annually thereafter to enable the locality more easily to track applicant progress.

11 Applications & Procedures. This section of the model ordinance attempts to spell out specific, enforceable requirements for protection of the public health, safety and welfare. Some general principles were also considered but not included, in large part because the language might be challenging to interpret and enforce. These general principles included the following:

a. The use will not pose a significant adverse impact to health or public safety.

b. There will be no serious hazard to pedestrians or vehicles from the use.

c. Adequate and appropriate facilities will be provided for the proper operation of the project.

d. Adverse impacts to the natural and built environment should be avoided or minimized to the extent practicable and in a manner that protects adjacent property owners and the public interest.

12 Special Use Permits: Public Notification. LOG members and public attendees noted in LOG meeting discussions that special use permits for wind projects would entail the same general requirements as other SUP’s, including public notification in accordance with § 15.2-2204 of the Code of Virginia. In addition, a representative from the US Department of Defense (DoD) suggested that localities may want to consider notifying DoD of a proposed wind energy project even if there are no military establishments within the locality. He stated that a large wind system could affect military operations and readiness some distance away, and the DoD has requested that Virginia localities provide a courtesy notification to allow time for DoD to evaluate the defense implications. In the spirit of cooperation with federal agencies, the following information provided by the DoD representative is included: To notify the Energy Siting Clearinghouse, send correspondence to: Executive Director, USDoD Siting Clearinghouse, Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment), Room 5C646, 3400 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3400. To notify the USDoD REC, send correspondence to: Commander Navy Region Mid-Atlantic (N45), 1510 Gilbert Street, Norfolk, VA 23511-2737.
2. Existing and proposed buildings and structures, including preliminary location(s) and elevation(s) of the proposed wind turbine(s). Without amending the site plan or Special Use Permit, an applicant may construct a turbine within a 200’ radius of the site plan location, if it becomes necessary due to unanticipated topographic conditions or low sustainable wind conditions, as long as the required setbacks are maintained.

3. Existing and proposed access roads, drives, turnout locations, and parking.

4. Location of substations, electrical cabling from the wind turbine(s) to the substations, ancillary equipment, buildings, and structures (including those within any applicable setbacks), if any.

5. Additional information may be required, as determined by the [local official], such as a scaled elevation view and other supporting drawings, photographs of the proposed site, photo or other realistic simulations or modeling of the proposed wind project from potentially sensitive locations as deemed necessary by the [local official] to assess the visual impact of the project,\(^\text{13}\) landscaping and screening plan, coverage map, and additional information that may be necessary for a technical review of the proposal.

C. LIABILITY INSURANCE\(^\text{14}\)
The applicant shall provide proof of adequate liability insurance for a community-scale wind energy project prior to issuance of a zoning or building permit [or prior to beginning construction].

7. LOCATION, APPEARANCE, AND OPERATION OF A PROJECT SITE

A. VISUAL APPEARANCE
The color of the wind energy project shall be a non-reflective, unobtrusive color that blends with the surrounding environment and prevents glare. A photo or other simulation may be required.

\(^{13}\)Google Earth model simulations were mentioned as an alternative to photo simulations.

\(^{14}\)Liability Insurance. Localities will need to decide what “adequate liability insurance” means in the context of local land use requirements. Typically, insurance requirements will be subject to the amount of investment, including installation costs, in the facility. Rather than providing a specific dollar amount, localities might want to develop a sliding scale based upon investment amount or some other indicator used by the locality in other contexts. Some localities prefer to address the issue of liability insurance as part of the building permit process. Additional note: If the project will utilize net metering, insurance and certain other requirements will apply.
B. VISUAL IMPACTS
The applicant shall demonstrate through project siting and proposed mitigation, if necessary, that the wind project minimizes impacts on the visual character of a scenic landscape, vista, or scenic corridor as identified in the comprehensive plan.

C. LIGHTING
Wind turbines shall not be artificially lighted unless required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). If lighting is required, the applicant shall provide a copy of the FAA determination to establish the required markings and/or lights for the wind turbines. Lighting of other parts of the wind energy project, such as appurtenant structures, shall be limited to that required for safety and operational purposes, and be full cut-off luminaries.

D. SIGNAGE
For community-scale [(Tier III)] projects, appropriate warning signage shall be placed on wind turbines, electrical equipment, and wind energy project entrances. Wind turbines shall not be used for displaying any advertising except for reasonable identification of the manufacturer or operator of the wind energy project. All signs, flags, streamers or similar items, both temporary and permanent, are prohibited on turbines except as follows: (a) manufacturer’s or installer’s identification on the wind turbine; (b) appropriate warning signs and placards; (c) signs that may be required by a federal agency; and (d) signs that provide a 24-hour emergency contact phone number and warn of any danger. Educational signs providing information about the project and benefits of renewable energy may be allowed as provided in the sign ordinance.

Visual Impacts. Larger wind energy projects have a visual presence in the landscape. Some communities may be concerned about the visual impact of these facilities; the language provided is designed to enable localities to explicitly address visual impacts without restricting access to wind resources. Localities can employ many techniques to assess visual impacts, such as charrettes, balloon tests, geographic information systems (GIS) mapping like Google Earth, and other tools with three-dimensional rendering and line-of-sight options and photo-simulations.

Scenic Resources. This provision assumes designation of scenic resources in a local comprehensive plan. If no such designation has occurred, then this provision is not applicable and not recommended. Some LOG members, however, disagreed with the idea that scenic resources would need to be identified in a local comprehensive plan in order for a locality to insist that visual impacts be mitigated. The underlying goal was that the scenic resources being protected by this provision should rise to some level of importance; that is, the provision is not intended to accommodate anyone’s and everyone’s expressed desire not to be able to see the turbines. If the comprehensive plan is not a useful tool for identifying which scenic resources should be protected, then a locality is encouraged to utilize another approach that it deems more appropriate. Note that visual impacts on historic resources are addressed by DEQ’s Wind PBR regulation.
E. **Noise**

Audible sound from a wind energy project shall not exceed [60 dBA](A-weighted decibels), as measured at any adjacent non-participating landowner’s property line, or the locality’s existing noise standard, whichever is greater. The level, however, may be exceeded during short-term exceptional circumstances, such as severe weather. [The applicant is responsible for providing information from a qualified professional assessing background noise levels and ascertaining on a long-term periodic basis that noise levels are in compliance with this standard.]

F. **Shadow Flicker**

1. The applicant shall certify, by a professional engineer, that any wind turbine that is sited within one half mile of any occupied building on a non-participating landowner’s property either avoids shadow flicker on any occupied building or that reasonable efforts to minimize shadow flicker to any occupied building on a non-participating landowner’s property shall be made. Any occupied building situated to the south of the line of latitude that crosses the southern-most wind turbine associated with a wind project is excluded from any flicker study requirement.

2. The applicant does not have to meet these requirements if adjoining property owners sign a waiver of their rights regarding shadow flicker impacts, as follows:
   a. The written waiver shall notify the property owner(s) of shadow flicker limits in this ordinance, describe the impact on the property owner(s), and state that the consent is granted for the wind energy project to not comply with the flicker limit in this ordinance.
   b. Any such waiver shall be recorded in the office of the clerk of courts of the locality where the property is located. In addition to the above, the waiver shall describe the properties benefited and bur-

---

17 **Noise.** Virginia law requires that wind ordinances contain a noise provision (see §67-103 of the Code of Virginia), and the RAP was advised 60dBA is a standard employed in a number of Virginia ordinances, and some localities have night-time noise standards that exceed 60 dBA. Since the Virginia Code also requires local governments to encourage renewable energy when enacting wind ordinances, it is recommended that any established noise limit(s) for wind energy projects not be more restrictive than what is already in place for other land uses. Noise, however, is a complex technical issue on which research is ongoing. Local government leaders may want to consult reliable noise research studies – some of which are referenced on DEQ’s website – where relevant issues are analyzed and published in reliable peer-reviewed media. These issues typically include whether to measure noise at the property line or at non-participating residences, the necessity of subtracting out (controlling for) background noise when setting/measuring the standard, whether a qualified professional should be required to conduct the measurements, at what intervals the measurements should be taken, and the appropriate dBA over background noise. The subject of (inaudible) low frequency noise is often raised by citizens. Most members of the LOG did not recommend inclusion of a provision regarding low frequency noise. The primary sources consulted during development of this model ordinance did not include provisions regarding low frequency noise.

18 **Shadow Flicker.** As opposed to the negligible impact of shadow flicker in utility-scale wind projects, LOG members indicated that the shadow flicker issue may be more relevant for community-scale projects which may be located within or near residential areas. Accordingly, the LOG recommended that localities consider addressing the shadow flicker issue in community-scale wind ordinances using the language above. As a point of information, at this writing, a typical 100 kW turbine has a hub height of 120 feet, and a typical 900 kW turbine has a hub height of 165 feet.
dened, and advise subsequent purchasers of the burdened property that the waiver of shadow flicker limits runs with the land and may forever burden the subject property.

G. **[Height] NO PROVISION RECOMMENDED**

H. **SETBACKS**

1. MET towers and wind turbines shall be set back from buildings, property lines, and public or private rights-of-way according to the setback requirements of Table II (below).  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind Energy Project Type</th>
<th>Table II: Minimum Setback Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occupied Buildings (Subject Property)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier I</td>
<td>&gt;10kW to 100kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier II</td>
<td>&gt;100kW to 1 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier III</td>
<td>&gt;1MW to 5 MW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Measured from the center of the wind turbine base to the property line, right of way, or nearest point on the foundation of an occupied building.

2 Calculated by multiplying the required setback number by the wind turbine height.

3 This setback is intended to reduce noise and shadow flicker impacts to any previously-existing occupied buildings on adjacent properties.

---

**Height.** The LOG found no reasons to impose height restrictions that are not addressed by setback requirements. The LOG suggested that localities adjust this approach if they see fit, but that they bear in mind the Commonwealth’s statutory policy of encouraging development of renewable energy (see §67-103 of the Code of Virginia).

**Setbacks.** Virginia law requires that wind ordinances contain a setbacks provision (see §67-103 of the Code of Virginia). The LOG suggested that setback requirements for community-scale projects vary by zoning district, rather than suggesting a single setback distance. The ratios of 1.1 and 1.5 turbine height are commonly used, although zoning ordinances around the country also use multiples of the turbine height as well as fixed distances. For a review of common practice regarding setbacks, see Commonwealth of Rhode Island, Department of Environmental Management, “Terrestrial Wind Turbine Siting Report,” January 2009, accessed at [http://www.dem.ri.gov/cleannrg/pdf/terrwind.pdf](http://www.dem.ri.gov/cleannrg/pdf/terrwind.pdf).

**Table 2 is designed to be a template which localities may choose to adopt or to modify as they see fit.**
Waivers of Setback Requirements

Any participating or adjoining landowner may waive applicable setback requirements for occupied buildings of adjacent property or property lines by [following the designated procedures and signing and filing the appropriate documentation with the locality in which the wind energy project is located]; however, all occupied buildings shall be subject to the minimum setback requirements for occupied buildings of the subject property. Setback requirements for occupied buildings of the subject property and for public and private rights-of-way may not be waived.

I. USE OF ROADS (NOT APPLICABLE TO [TIER I] COMMUNITY-SCALE PROJECTS)

1. The applicant shall identify all state and local public roads to be used within the [locality] to transport equipment and parts for construction, operation or maintenance of the wind project.

2. The applicant shall submit written documentation that the applicant or his assignee has accepted full financial responsibility for repairs to damage to private roads used during the construction or operation of the proposed project, unless he provides documentation of other agreements with the owner(s) of the private roads. Private roads used to access the proposed project, including roads that serve non-participating landowners, shall be restored and maintained to pre-construction conditions during operation of the project, unless otherwise agreed by the parties.

8. SAFETY AND CONSTRUCTION

A. CLIMB PREVENTION/LOCKS

1. The exterior of wind turbines shall not be climbable up to 15 feet above ground surface.

2. All access doors to wind turbines and electrical equipment shall be locked or fenced, as appropriate, to prevent entry by unauthorized persons.

3. The [locality] may waive these requirements, if and as it deems appropriate.

B. WARNINGS

1. A clearly visible warning sign concerning voltage must be placed at the base of all pad-mounted transformers and substations.

2. Visible, reflective, colored objects, such as flags, reflectors, or tape shall be placed on the anchor points of guy wires and along the guy wires up to a height of ten feet from the ground.

22 Waiver of Setbacks. Several LOG members asserted that waiver of setback provisions should be addressed with great caution when the standards are designed to address safety concerns. In response to these concerns, the model ordinance does not allow waiver of the 110% setback requirement for occupied buildings. This approach is also found in Pennsylvania’s model wind ordinance.
C. GROUND CLEARANCE
The minimum distance between the ground and any protruding blades utilized on a community-scale wind energy project shall be 15 feet on a horizontal axis system and ten feet on a vertical axis system, as measured at the lowest point of the arc of the blades. The lowest point of the arc of the blade shall also be ten feet above the height of any structure within 150 feet of the base of the tower.

D. SPEED CONTROLS AND BRAKES
If and as recommended by the manufacturer, wind turbines shall be equipped with a redundant braking system. This system typically includes aerodynamic over-speed controls (including variable pitch, tip, and other similar systems) and mechanical brakes.

E. EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN
1. Upon request, the applicant shall cooperate with emergency services to develop and coordinate implementation of an emergency response plan for the wind energy project.

2. Any wind energy project found to be unsafe [by the local enforcement officer] shall be repaired by the project’s owner or operator to meet applicable federal, state, and local safety standards or removed within six months.

F. SIGNAL INTERFERENCE
The applicant shall make reasonable efforts to avoid disruption or loss of radio, telephone, television, or similar signals, and shall mitigate for significant interference caused by the project. The project shall comply with the provisions of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 15 and subsequent revisions governing said emissions. The owner or operator of a wind energy project may be required to discontinue use until the specified interference has been corrected.

G. CONSTRUCTION AND INSTALLATION
In the construction and installation of a community-scale wind energy project, the owner or operator shall, to the greatest extent practicable, adhere to the following requirements:

1. Use existing roads to provide access to the site, or if new roads are needed, minimize the amount of land used for new roads and locate them so as to minimize adverse environmental impacts.

---

23 Speed Control and Brakes. This section describes typical subsystems incorporated into turbines to ensure safe operation. Some LOG members recommended that localities also consult information about certification of turbines at distributedwind.org and http://distributedwind.org/assets/docs/PandZDocs/dwea-model-zoning-ordinance-passed-01-07-12.pdf (new version in development), and also at http://www.smallwindcertification.org/.

24 Emergency Response Plan. One LOG member commented that there should be an emergency response plan for larger wind projects, and the owner/operator of the project needs to be involved in its development because he and his staff are the ones most intimately familiar with the facility.
2. Install all electrical wires associated with a community-scale wind energy project underground, other than wires necessary to connect an individual wind turbine to its base and to overhead collection lines.

9. DECOMMISSIONING

A. TIER I PROJECTS

If a Tier I Project has been determined to be unsafe by the [locality] Building Code Official, the Tier I Project shall be required to be repaired by the project owner or other responsible party to meet federal, state and local safety standards, or be removed by the project owner or other responsible party within the time period allowed by the [locality] Building Code Official. If the project owner or other responsible party fails to remove or repair the unsafe Tier I Project, the [locality] may pursue a legal action to have the Project removed at the responsible party’s expense.

When the project owner or other responsible party decommissions a Tier I Project, he shall handle and dispose of the equipment and other project components in conformance with state and local requirements.

B. TIER II & TIER III PROJECTS

1. DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

As part of the project application, the applicant shall submit a decommissioning plan, which shall include the following: (1) the anticipated life of the project; (2) the estimated decommissioning cost in current dollars; (3) how said estimate was determined; (4) the method of ensuring that funds will be available for decommissioning and restoration; (5) the method that the decommissioning cost will be kept current; and (6) the manner in which the project will be decommissioned and the site restored.

2. DISCONTINUATION OR ABANDONMENT OF PROJECT

a. At such time that a wind energy project is scheduled to be abandoned or discontinued, the owner or operator shall notify the [locality’s chief administrative officer or his/her designee] by certified U.S. mail of the proposed date of abandonment or discontinuation of operations. Any wind project that has been inop-

---

25 Decommissioning: Under Virginia law, a wind ordinance must contain a decommissioning provision (see §67-103 of the Code of Virginia). It is suggested that the ordinance include language that (1) requires removal of wind turbines and site restoration to pre-project levels when project ceases to operate, unless otherwise agreed; (2) requires the applicant to submit a decommissioning study as part of the project application so that total costs for decommissioning and site restoration can be determined; and (3) requires the applicant to satisfy surety obligations before any local permit is issued.
erable or unutilized for a period of 12 consecutive months shall be deemed abandoned and subject to the requirements of this section.26

b. Within 365 days of the date of abandonment or discontinuation, the owner or operator shall complete the physical removal of the wind energy project and site restoration. This period may be extended at the request of the owner or operator, upon approval of the [local governing body].

c. Decommissioning of discontinued or abandoned wind projects shall include the following:

d. Physical removal of all wind turbine(s) and above-ground appurtenant structures from the subject property including, but not limited to, buildings, machinery, equipment, cabling and connections to transmission lines, equipment shelters, security barriers, electrical components, roads (unless such roads need to remain to access buildings retrofitted for another purpose, or the landowner submits a request to the [locality] that such roads remain).

e. Below-grade structures, such as foundations and underground collection cabling, shall be removed to a depth of [three]27 feet below ground level or covered to an equivalent depth with fill material; however, these structures may be allowed to remain if a written request is submitted by the landowner to the [locality]. Compacted soils shall be decompacted to a depth of three feet.

f. Restoration of the topography of the project site to its pre-existing condition, except that any landscaping or grading may remain in the after-condition if a written request is submitted by the landowner to the [locality].

g. Proper disposal of all solid or hazardous materials and wastes from the site in accordance with local and state solid waste disposal regulations.

C. TIER III PROJECTS

Surety.28 A performance surety, in a form approved by the [appropriate local government official] or his designee, shall be submitted by the applicant prior to beginning construction in order to ensure the remov-

26 Discontinuation or Abandonment: Local governments may want to request annual status reports, either pre- or post-construction, or both. Such reports may assist in evaluating whether a project has been abandoned.

27 Depth of decompaction. The LOG also considered a depth of four feet, but three feet was recommended by attendees at the 11/3/11 LOG meeting.

28 Surety. Local governments around the country typically seek assurances that all obligations of larger wind energy project development pursuant to a local government approval will be performed, even in the event of bankruptcy of the project developer. Some wind energy projects may be owned and operated through a single-asset limited liability corporation, with the only available assets being the wind turbines themselves (the value of which, at the end of their useful lives, may be scrap metal reclamation value). Abandonment of obsolete or inoperative wind turbines, while not likely, would result in neglected maintenance and upkeep and would be a liability for both the community and the property owner. As a condition of issuing any local government approval (e.g., Special Use Permit or building permit), local governments should require a guaranteed funding source for the removal of abandoned or inoperative wind turbines.
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al and decommissioning of the community-scale wind energy project when it is no longer used for the generation of electricity. Such surety shall be an amount that is no less than the total estimated costs for decommissioning, removing and restoring the site for the wind project. Such surety shall be binding on subsequent owners of the property or wind energy project. If the applicant/responsible party fails to decommission the wind project or to decommission a discontinued or derelict wind turbine in accordance with this section, the [locality] may access such surety for the completion of decommissioning and site restoration. Any excess funds that accrue after consideration of salvage value may be returned to the applicant/responsible party.

Local governments should seek reliable methods of secured funding sources to ensure that performance obligations under the local government approvals are satisfied, up to and including the costs for decommissioning and site restoration for wind energy projects. The following methods of secured funding could be considered:

1. **Cash Escrow Account**: An escrow account is established by an escrow agreement between the obligor and obligee, and is held by a third party for distribution to satisfy any obligations as set forth in the escrow agreement. The amount of funds in the escrow account would be determined by the present-day costs to satisfy any and all contractual obligations. If an escrow account were established to guarantee the removal of a wind energy project in the event of abandonment by a bankrupt owner, the total costs of removal and restoration of the project site would be determined and deposited in the account.

2. **Surety Bond**: A surety bond is a legal instrument that guarantees that any legal and/or contractual obligations are paid to the party to be benefited under the terms of a legal instrument. The amount of the surety bond would be determined by the present-day costs to satisfy any and all contractual obligations and would be effective for the life of the project. The surety bond guarantees performance of contractual obligations by a third party in the event of a bankrupt owner.

3. **Letter of Credit**: A letter of credit may be defined as a written instrument by which the writer requests or authorizes the person to whom it is addressed to pay money or deliver goods to a third person. Letters of credit evidence an agreement whereby the writer assumes responsibility for payment to the addressee of the amount of the debt.

*Note: Localities wanting to utilize a Letter of Credit may want to consider the following language: Furnish to the [Director of Community Development] a letter of credit issued by a bank or savings and loan institution having an office located in the state and being regulated by the state or the United States government satisfactory to the [Director] as to form and in an amount sufficient for the removal of such facility.*

Each locality probably has its own rules and procedures for letters of credit. If your locality uses these and specifies time limits, it may be prudent to review the sufficiency of the letter of credit at least once every five years; however, no stricter or more burdensome requirements are suggested for wind projects than the locality utilizes for other projects or businesses.

Several commenters noted that, for any kind of long-term project, it is a difficult process to obtain estimates and the surety, and then to keep the surety effectively in place over time, as well as keep up with inflation. One possibility, based on the experience of certain localities with attempting to establish sureties for telecommunications towers, is to require a cash escrow of 125% of the certified present day removal cost that is deposited with the Treasurer of the locality, who will then place the funds in a T-bill or CD or similar safe, interest-bearing instrument and hold until required. In any case, some LOG members believe it is critical that a surety agreement prepared by the local government attorney accompany the surety itself and that the agreement be recorded on the land records of the locality indexed to the property owner, the subject property, and the locality. They recommended such measures because it is nearly certain that a time will come when no one who was involved in the approval process is still involved in any way with the site or the project.